



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/684,389	10/10/2000	Jin Pil Kim	8736.044.00	2251	
30827 7	590 07/08/2005		EXAMINER		
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			BUI, KIEU OANH T		
1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2611		

DATE MAILED: 07/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/684,389	KIM ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		KIEU-OANH T. BUI	2611			
The MAILING I	DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If the period for reply specif - If NO period for reply is spe - Failure to reply within the se	OF THIS COMMUNICATION. available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 the mailing date of this communication. led above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply cified above, the maximum statutory period vet or extended period for reply will, by statute, ffice later than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from y cause the application to become ABANDONE grade of this communication, even if timely filed	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on 25 M	arch 2005.				
2a)☐ This action is F	INAL. 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the abov 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-14 a</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s)	and 19-22 is/are pending in the are claim(s) is/are withdraw is/are allowed. and 19-22 is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification	n is objected to by the Examine	r.				
	D) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may no	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
		ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob aminer. Note the attached Office	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119					
a) All b) Sor 1. Certified 2. Certified 3. Copies of application	me * c) None of: copies of the priority documents copies of the priority documents f the certified copies of the prior on from the International Bureau	s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)		_				
Notice of References Cite Notice of Draftsperson's I	ed (PTO-892) Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
	atement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/684,389

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Remark

1. Claims 15-18, and claims 23-34 were canceled. Pending claims are 1-14, and 19-22.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments in the remark of the amendment with respect to claims 1-14 and 19-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 102

- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-6, 9-12, 14, 19-20, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stinebruner (U.S. Patent 6,133,910).

Regarding claim 1, Stinebruner discloses "a system includes a virtual channel table for a broadcast protocol, comprising identification information in a bit stream syntax thereof, said identification information identifying each channel as one of an active and an inactive channel", i.e., Table 16 of Fig. 2 shows a virtual channel table (VCT) for a broadcast protocol including a plurality of channels from a variety of sources including active and inactive/or unused channels (denoted as "0") with identifiers for identifying each channel, and as shown in Fig. 3, a computer

Application/Control Number: 09/684,389

Art Unit: 2611

routine for disclosing identification information in a bit stream syntax for identifying which channel is active or inactive/blank/unused (refer to col. 5/lines 45-55 for channel identifiers; col. 7/lines 25-38 for blank channels identifying and allocation; col. 8/lines 12-44 for the bit stream syntax for identifying channels in a virtual channel table as shown in Fig. 2).

As for claim 2, Stinebruner discloses "wherein said virtual channel table is included in a program and system information protocol for a digital broadcast" (Fig. 2 and col. 5/lines 5-55 for digital broadcasting from DBS and other broadcasting protocols using either HDTV format or non-HDTV format is addressed).

As for claim 3, Stinebruner discloses "wherein said digital broadcast is any one of a digital terrestrial broadcast and a digital cable broadcast" (col. 5/lines 5-44 for either a digital broadcast, digital terrestrial broadcast or digital cable broadcast is suggested using HDTV format for digital broadcasting).

Regarding claims 4-6, Stinebruner discloses "wherein said identification information sets a value of a program number field in the virtual channel table to "0" to indicate that a corresponding channel is an inactive channel"; "wherein said identification information sets a value of a number of elements field of a service location descriptor in the virtual channel table to "0" to indicate that a corresponding channel is an inactive channel"; and "wherein said identification information indicates that a corresponding channel is an inactive channel whenever a service location descriptor is not included in the virtual channel table" (Table as shown in Fig. 2 shows either a service location field with a "0" and/or the source channel is "0" indicates inactive channels, and as shown on line 1, if a source location descriptor "Camcorder" is not included in the VCT, it shows a source channel is "0").

Application/Control Number: 09/684,389

Art Unit: 2611

Page 4

Regarding claims 9-12 and 14, these claims for "a method of broadcasting using a virtual channel table in a broadcasting protocol, said method comprising: including identification information in the virtual channel table, said identification information identifying a channel as being one of an active and an inactive channel, and transmitting the virtual channel table; and determining at a receiver whether the channel is inactive based upon the identification information defined in the virtual channel table, by parsing the virtual channel table" with limitations as disclosed earlier are rejected for the same reasons in the scope of claims 1-6 above.

Regarding claim 19-22, these claims for "a digital broadcast transmitter, a method of indicating an inactive channel, comprising: generating a virtual channel table, including within the virtual channel table information indicating the inactive channel; and transmitting the virtual channel table as part of a digital broadcast signal" are rejected for the reasons given in the scope of claims 1-6 as disclosed above.

Art Unit: 2611

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 7-8, 13 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stinebruner (US Patent 6,133,910) in view of Eyer et al. (US Patent 5,982,411).

As for claims 7-8, 13, and 21, Stinebruner does not further disclose the steps of "wherein said identification information assigns at least one bit of a reserved field to indicate that a corresponding channel is an inactive channel" and "wherein said reserved field is positioned in a statement of a for loop in a bit stream syntax of the virtual channel table"; however, Eyer, in a similar digital broadcasting service system, discloses in a close up view on the protocol of data message with identifier fields, reserved fields, and the reserved field is positioned in a statement of a for loop in a bit stream syntax of the virtual channel table (Eyer, col. 11/lines 1-44 and with in Table 3, reserved fields are 3 bits within the loop of a bit stream syntax for identifying virtual channel table). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Stinebruner's system with Eyer's detailed technique in using a loop of routine for bit stream syntax including reserved fields for inactive/unused channels in order to identify information for each individual channel whether one is an active or inactive channel within a virtual channel table.

Application/Control Number: 09/684,389 Page 6

Art Unit: 2611

Conclusion

7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to: (703) 872-9306, (for Technology Center 2600 only)

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krista Kieu-Oanh Bui whose telephone number is (571) 272-7291. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 AM to 6:30 PM, with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Grant, can be reached on (571) 272-7294.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kieu-Oanh Bui

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2611